



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 227 W MONROE STREET CHICAGO IL 60606	IM71/0106 _	EXAMINER	
	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1773	
		DATE MAILED: 01/06/99	

PI ase find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



## \* Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/886,881 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Eckstein et al.

	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	on as to the mo	erits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fa application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ex 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ailure to respond within the period	d for response	will cause the
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 1-8, 10-18, 23-26, 35-51, 56-59, 68-81, a	and 91-96 is/are	pending in the	application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are w	ithdrawn from	consideration.
Claim(s)		a/are allowed.	
Claim(s)	is	s/are rejected.	·
☐ Claim(s)			to.
	are subject to restrict	ion or election	requirement.
<ul> <li>☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of</li></ul>	is approved	ve been  Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:			·
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)		
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Page Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Application/Control Number: 08/886,881

Art Unit: 1773

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

claimed invention: Films made of a polymer produced by single site catalysis selected from the

following groups:

a. Polyethylene and ethylene / alpha-olefin copolymers

b. Polypropylene and propylene copolymers

c. Vinyl chloride polymers / copolymers

d. Ethylene vinyl acetate copolymers

e. Polystyrene

f. Polyamides

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution

on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claims 1, 35, 36, are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are

generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

4

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Joy Ann Seraukas on December 30th, 1998 to request g. an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

h. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1773

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (703) -308-2379.

D. Lawrence Tarazano

December 31, 1998

Paul Thibodeau

Supervisory Patent Traminer Technology Center 1700